



CONSERVATION DRAINAGE

Controlled Drainage / Subirrigation (CDSI)

Background Report and Design Guide

Prepared for:

Land Improvement Contractors of Ontario
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs

Prepared by:

Hunter and Associates

January 18, 2008

Our File No.: 06-412

This project is funded in part through contributions by the Government of Canada and the Province of Ontario under the Canada-Ontario Water Supply Expansion Program (COWSEP), an initiative of the federal-provincial-territorial Agricultural Policy Framework designed to position Canada's agri-food sector as a world leader. The Agricultural Adaptation Council administers COWSEP on behalf of the Government of Canada and the Province of Ontario.

January 7, 2008

Our File No.: 06-412

Mr. Don Lobb, Chair
Steering Committee
Southern Ontario CDSI Project
Don Lobb & Associates
1009 Boston Mills Road
Caledon, ON L7C 0N1

HAND DELIVER

Re: Controlled Drainage Subirrigation (CDSI) Potential – Southern Ontario Project

Dear Mr. Lobb:

We are pleased to provide our Controlled Drainage Subirrigation Background Report including The Drainage Guide (Manual).

The Drainage Guide with Examples is included as Sec 4.0 and the Operational Guide as Sec 5.0. Definitions and Supporting Diagrams are enclosed in Sec 1.0. Sec 2.0 provides a summary of CDSI research from Southern Ontario and nearby Northwestern Ohio and provides the basis for the Sec 4.0 Design Guide. Sec 3.0 provides an analysis of summer precipitation and stream flow runoff availability as an analogue for potential controlled drainage capture. This section also provides an estimate of supplementary soil and pond water storage necessary to satisfy crop moisture demands through subirrigation for approximately 8 in 10 years for Southwestern and Eastern Ontario in support of stable high crop yields with low nutrient residuals for economic and environmental benefit.

The Design and Operational Guide is intended as an initial Supplement to the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs Drainage Guide for Ontario (2007) and to be integrated into future editions. However the CDSI Design Guide still requires an additional period of scrutiny by the CDSI Steering Committee followed by the Drainage and Farmer Community.

There is also a need to scale up the CDSI plot research in Southern Ontario to commercial farm demonstration scale and to encourage agricultural drain tubing manufacturers to develop improved water level control devices suitable for installation on fields with gradients above 0.5 %.

We are available to further update this report as comments may be received.

Yours truly,

Garry T. Hunter, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.

President

Hunter and Associates

GTH/wp

cc: Sid Vander Veen

Jim Myslik

R. Wade Morrison

John Johnston

Laura Sider

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 | Definitions..... | 1 |
| 1.1.1 | Allowable Subirrigation Water Table Sag | 1 |
| 1.1.2 | Allowable Water Table Drainage Mounding..... | 2 |
| 1.1.3 | Aquifer Vulnerability..... | 2 |
| 1.1.4 | Capillary Fringe | 2 |
| 1.1.5 | Carbon Sequestration..... | 2 |
| 1.1.6 | Conservation Drainage | 2 |
| 1.1.7 | Controlled Drainage..... | 2 |
| 1.1.8 | Crop Water Deficit | 3 |
| 1.1.9 | Depth to Impermeable Layer | 3 |
| 1.1.10 | Depth of Root Zone | 3 |
| 1.1.11 | Drainage Coefficient | 3 |
| 1.1.12 | Early Planting | 4 |
| 1.1.13 | Effective Root Zone Depth | 4 |
| 1.1.14 | Environmental Benefits | 4 |
| 1.1.15 | Hydraulic Conductivity (Saturated) | 4 |
| 1.1.16 | No Tillage | 5 |
| 1.1.17 | Nutrient Management | 5 |
| 1.1.18 | Recycle (Storage) Ponds..... | 5 |
| 1.1.19 | Seasonal Priority for Crop Moisture | 6 |
| 1.1.20 | Soil Aeration..... | 6 |
| 1.1.21 | Soil Aggregates..... | 6 |
| 1.1.22 | Soil Management | 7 |
| 1.1.23 | Subirrigation | 7 |
| 1.1.24 | Subsurface Drainage | 7 |
| 1.1.25 | Surface Drainage | 8 |
| 1.1.26 | Trafficability | 8 |
| 1.1.27 | Water Table Control | 8 |
| 1.1.28 | Water Table Elevation Zones | 8 |
| 1.1.29 | Water Table Management | 8 |
| 1.1.30 | Yield Management..... | 9 |
| 2.0 | RESEARCH OVERVIEW..... | 21 |
| 2.1 | Climate and Water Deficit Trends | 22 |
| 2.1.1 | Controlled Drainage-Subirrigation Influences on Tile Nitrate Losses and Corn Yields on Sandy Loam Soils (Ng et al, 2001) | 23 |
| 2.1.2 | Impacts of Recent Climate Trends on Agriculture in Southwestern Ontario (Tan and Reynolds, 2003)..... | 23 |
| 2.2 | Southwestern Ontario (Essex County) | 25 |
| 2.2.1 | Drain Spacing on Brookston Clay (Bolton et al, 1980) | 25 |
| 2.2.2 | Effect of Tillage and Water Table Control on Brookston Soils (Tan et al, 2002) | 25 |
| 2.2.3 | Effect of Controlled Drainage and Tillage on Soil Structure and Nitrate Loss on Brookston Soils (after Tan et al, 1998)..... | 26 |
| 2.2.4 | Effects of Conventional Tillage and No Tillage on Soil and Water Quality in Brookston Soils (Tan et al, 2002) | 27 |
| 2.2.5 | Effect of Controlled Drainage-Subirrigation on Nitrate Losses on Sandy Loam Soils | |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.2.6 | at Field Scale (Tan et al, 1999) | 28 |
| | Controlled Drainage-Subirrigation Influences on Tile Nitrate Losses and Corn Yields on Sandy Loam Soils (Ng et al, 2001)..... | 28 |
| 2.2.7 | Wetland Reservoir System (Tan et al, 2007) | 29 |
| 2.2.8 | Controlled Drainage/Subirrigation, Chatham Kent, Bert Rammelaera Farm (2007 pers. comm.) | 31 |
| 2.3 | Eastern Ontario and Quebec | 31 |
| 2.3.1 | Modelling Study Ste. Rosalie Clay, Quebec (Madramootoo, 1990)..... | 31 |
| 2.3.2 | Controlled Drainage Subirrigation-Bainsville (after Mejia et al, 1999) | 32 |
| 2.4 | Northwestern Ohio | 33 |
| 2.4.1 | Water Table Management to Enhance Crop Yields in Wetland Reservoir Subirrigation Systems, Northwestern Ohio (Allred et al, 2003)..... | 33 |
| 2.4.2 | Defiance County | 34 |
| 2.4.3 | Fulton County | 35 |
| 2.4.4 | Van Wert County..... | 35 |
| 2.4.5 | Experience Gained..... | 36 |
| 2.5 | Summary | 37 |
| 3.0 | WATER SUPPLY and AVAILABILITY | 39 |
| 3.1 | Southwestern Ontario (Essex and Lambton County) | 39 |
| 3.1.1 | Summer Rainfall..... | 39 |
| 3.1.2 | Summer Stream Flow | 39 |
| 3.1.3 | Water Deficit | 40 |
| 3.1.4 | Extremes | 40 |
| 3.2 | Eastern Ontario (United Counties of Prescott and Russell) | 40 |
| 3.2.1 | Summer Rainfall..... | 40 |
| 3.2.2 | Stream Flow | 40 |
| 3.2.3 | Water Deficit | 41 |
| 3.2.4 | Extremes | 41 |
| 3.3 | Soil Moisture Storage..... | 41 |
| 3.4 | CDSI Water Losses..... | 42 |
| 3.5 | Summer Rainfall and Summer Streamflow | 42 |
| 3.6 | Subirrigation Water Supply..... | 42 |
| 4.0 | DESIGN GUIDE | 48 |
| 4.1 | Planning | 48 |
| 4.1.1 | Geodetic Control..... | 49 |
| 4.1.2 | Orthophoto Base | 49 |
| 4.1.3 | Rain Gauge | 49 |
| 4.1.4 | Observation Wells | 49 |
| 4.1.5 | Yield Monitoring | 49 |
| 4.1.6 | Back Hoe / Auger Survey | 50 |
| 4.1.7 | Detailed Soils Map | 50 |
| 4.1.8 | Topographic Field Survey | 50 |
| 4.1.9 | Existing Drainage Infrastructure Map | 50 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.2 | Water Level Design | 51 |
| 4.2.1 | Water Level Elevation Control Zones | 51 |
| 4.2.2 | Water Table Design Depth | 51 |
| 4.2.3 | Existing Drainage Retrofit..... | 51 |
| 4.3 | Drain Depth, Grade and Diameter | 52 |
| 4.3.1 | Depth | 52 |
| 4.3.2 | Grade | 52 |
| 4.3.3 | Drain Diameter | 53 |
| 4.3.4 | Drainage Coefficients | 53 |
| 4.3.5 | Land Smoothing and Shaping | 53 |
| 4.4 | Drain Horizontal Alignment | 53 |
| 4.4.1 | Horizontal Alignment | 53 |
| 4.4.2 | Drain Spacing | 54 |
| 4.5 | Water Level Control Devices..... | 56 |
| 4.6 | Storage Pond (Reservoir) | 56 |
| 4.7 | Design Example | 58 |
| 4.8 | Agency Approvals and Permits..... | 59 |
| 4.9 | Contractor Responsibility | 59 |
| 4.10 | Farm Owner Responsibility | 59 |
| 5.0 | OPERATIONAL GUIDE (Water Table Management)..... | 66 |
| 5.1 | Water Table Management Objectives | 67 |
| 5.2 | Seasonal Management Strategy | 68 |
| 5.2.1 | Near Average | 68 |
| 5.2.2 | Wetter than Average | 69 |
| 5.2.3 | Drier than Average | 69 |
| 5.2.4 | Non-Growing Seasons | 70 |

BIBLIOGRAPHY

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Three modes of a drainage system | 10 |
| 1.2 | Flow direction and water table position in response to different water management alternatives | 11 |
| 1.3 | Flashboard Type Design | 12 |
| 1.4 | Float Type Design..... | 12 |
| 1.5 | Allowable sag of the water table midway between drains or ditches and the tolerable water table elevation above drains or in ditches during subirrigation | 13 |
| 1.6 | Uneven moisture distribution which occurs with subirrigation when the surface is not uniform | 13 |
| 1.7 | Capillary Fringe | 14 |
| 1.8 | Effective Rooting Depth | 14 |
| 1.9 | Typical rooting depths for crops in humid areas..... | 15 |
| 1.10 | The Soil Water Balance in the Root Zone..... | 16 |
| 1.11 | Water Table Design Depth..... | 17 |
| 1.12 | Upward Flux vs. Water Depth for Different Soils | 18 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.13 | Drainage volume curve for a Ste. Rosalie clay | 19 |
| 1.14 | Upward flux curve for a Ste. Rosalie clay | 19 |
| 1.15 | Effect of planting date on crop yield..... | 20 |
| 2.1 | Year Moving Average: (a) Annual (b) Growing Season (c) Non-Growing Season Water Deficit Between 1920 and 2000 at Harrow, Southwestern Ontario..... | 23 |
| 3.1 | Average Monthly Precipitation | 43 |
| 3.2 | Average Monthly Flow (Southwestern Ontario)..... | 44 |
| 3.3 | Average Monthly Flow (Eastern Ontario) | 45 |
| 3.4 | Summer Rainfall and Summer Stream Flows (Southwestern Ontario)..... | 46 |
| 3.5 | Summer Rainfall and Summer Stream Flows (Eastern Ontario) | 47 |
| 4.1 | Summer Rainfall less Stream Flow with Water Storage | 57 |
| 5.1 | Simplified illustration of WRSIS water table management strategies for the growing season | 66 |
| 5.2 | Managing the water table depth for plant development | 68 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Water Deficit 35 Year Averages | 22 |
| 2.2 | Effect of controlled subirrigation/drainage (CDS) and regular/traditional tile drainage (DR) on corn yields in 2000, 2001 and 2003, and on soybean yields in 2002 and 2004..... | 31 |
| 2.3 | Research Drain Spacing | 38 |
| 5.1 | Yield Response Factors for Corn | 70 |

LIST OF DRAWINGS

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.0 | New or Replacement CDSI with Closely Spaced Laterals and 6 inch Elevation Control Zones and Storage Pond | 60 |
| 2.0 | Existing Drained Field (0.2% Grade on Brookston Soil)..... | 61 |
| 3.0 | Controlled Drainage Retrofit with 12 inch Elevation Control Zones..... | 62 |
| 4.0 | Retrofit with Storage Pond, Closely Spaced Tiles and Subirrigation..... | 63 |
| 5.0 | Storage Pond at Ground Level Spoil to Land Levelling or Export | 64 |
| 6.0 | Storage Pond (Partially Elevated) with Spoil in Engineered Berm..... | 65 |